

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/777,885 02/12/2004 Anthony M. Mazany GOODR-A-CIP 7263 757 7590 08/05/2004 **EXAMINER BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE** MARCANTONI, PAUL D P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1755

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				fm
	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/	777,885	MAZANY ET AL.	
Office Action Summa	ry Exa	miner	Art Unit	
	Pau	Marcantoni	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of it - If the period for reply specified above is less than - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three of the proof of	IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In its communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within timum statutory period will apply for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may the statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) Mit the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>12 Februa</u>	ry 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL.	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in con	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the	practice under <i>Ex par</i>	te Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-105 is/are pending	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-105</u> is/are rejected.	•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected				
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or elect	ion requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on i	s/are: a) ☐ accepted	or b)□ objected to	b by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that an	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) ind	-	·		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Examine	er. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P1	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the pr	of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the pr	•			
· ·			n received in this National	Stage
application from the Inte	•	, ,,	A management	
* See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the	certified copies no	it received.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re			o(s)/Mail Date	L152\
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/777,885

Art Unit: 1755

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a and b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Haji (US Patent No. 6,419,737 B1).

Haji teaches a polymeric matrix (inorganic) that would appear to contain the same components of the instant invention even in overlapping amounts.

Even if not anticipated, overlapping ranges of amounts would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 1-105 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over all

Application/Control Number: 10/777,885

Art Unit: 1755

claims of copending Application No. 09/871,765 and 09/871,998. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both would appear to teach an identical inorganic polymer product.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information Art Unit: 1755

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755